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## 17-Allyl-16,17a-dioxo-17-aza-d-homoestra-1,3,5(10)-trien-3-yl acetate

In the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, a modified synthetic Dhomo steroid, the cyclohexene ring adjacent to the aromatic ring adopts a half-chair conformation, while the cyclohexane ring has an ideal chair conformation and the heterocyclic ring has a sofa conformation. The plane of the allyl substituent lies almost perpendicular to the least-squares plane of the heterocyclic ring. The crystal structure contains a series of weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ intermolecular interactions.

## Comment

Recently, synthetic steroids have been proposed as potential drug delivery systems targeting estrogen receptor positive breast cancer and other diseases associated with the estrogen receptor $\operatorname{Er} \alpha$ (Yamamoto et al., 2004, and references therein). Because of the common phenolic ring, $A$, the estradiol structures analogous to the title compound, (I), are expected to bind to the estrogen receptor (Roszak et al., 1991). The title compound, however, does not possess a $17 \beta$-hydroxy function, which is important for high-affinity binding. When the $17 \beta$ hydroxy substituent is replaced with a 17-carbonyl group as, for example, in estrone, the binding affinity may be decreased (see, for example, Roszak et al., 1991). It has also been suggested that the absence of an oxygen substituent, comparable to the estradiol 17-O, accounts for the inactivity or antagonistic properties observed for some ligands (Duax et al., 1985). Recently, we have reported a related structure which was also a modified steroid (Hema et al., 2004). As a continuation of our studies on modified steroids containing alkylating and other functional groups, the synthesis and crystal structure determination of the title compound, (I), has been undertaken.

(I)

Compound (I) is pseudo-isostructural with the related 17-butyl-16,17a-dioxo-17-aza-d-homoestra-1,3,5(10)-trien-3-yl acetate (Hema et al., 2004), which possesses a 17-butyl group instead of the 17 -allyl group of (I). The unit-cell dimensions for the two structures are very similar and the space groups are the same.

A view of the molecule of (I) with the atomic labeling scheme is shown in Fig. 1. In (I), the cyclohexene ring $B$, adjacent to the aromatic ring has a $7 \alpha, 8 \beta$-half-chair
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Figure 1
View of the molecule of the title compound, showing the atom-labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are represented by circles of arbitrary radius.


Figure 2
Superposition of the structures of the four steroid molecules discussed in the Comment. It was obtained by a least-squares fit of atoms $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 18$ plus N17, O16 and O17a.
conformation [puckering parameters (Cremer \& Pople, 1975): $Q=0.537$ (3) $\AA, \theta=49.7(3)^{\circ}$ and $\left.\varphi=158.0(4)^{\circ}\right]$ as a result of the fusion with the planar aromatic ring $A$. The cyclohexane ring $C$ has an ideal chair conformation $[Q=0.572$ (3) $\AA, \theta=$ $1.2(3)^{\circ}$ and $\left.\varphi=310(8)^{\circ}\right]$. With the substitution by the allyl group at N17, the heterocyclic ring $D$ adopts a $14 \alpha$-sofa conformation $\left[Q=0.505(3) \AA, \theta=56.0(3)^{\circ}\right.$ and $\varphi=$ $\left.195.9(4)^{\circ}\right]$. The dihedral angle between the plane of allyl group and the least-squares plane of ring $D$ is $84.5(5)^{\circ}$. The corresponding value observed in the 17-butyl analogue is 82.1 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ (Hema et al., 2004). Ring $D$ is flattened as indicated by the torsion angles for $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 17 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{N} 17-\mathrm{C} 16$ and $\mathrm{C} 17 \mathrm{a}-$ $\mathrm{N} 17-\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 15$ of 3.1 (4) and $6.8(4)^{\circ}$, respectively [ -0.9 (4) and $11.0(5)^{\circ}$, respectively, in the 17 -butyl analogue]. This is associated with the constraints on the ring conformation introduced by the normal planar arrangement about the two amide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds (sum of the angles at $\mathrm{N} 17=359.9^{\circ}$ ). The $B / C$ and $C / D$ rings are trans-fused. The plane of the acetate group attached to atom C 3 is oriented at an angle of $44.78(9)^{\circ}$ [50.84 (18) ${ }^{\circ}$ in the 17-butyl analogue] to the plane of aromatic ring $A$. Except for these small differences observed in the dihedral angles, both compounds have nearly identical conformations.

The superposition of the non-H atoms of all the four sixmembered rings of the title compound with the corresponding atoms of the related structures $N$-chloro-3-methoxy-17-aza-d-homoestra-1,3,5(10)-trien-16-one and $N$-chloro-3-methoxy-17-aza-d-homoestra-1,3,5(10)-trien-17a-one (Roszak et al., 1991) gives r.m.s. deviations of 0.093 and $0.183 \AA$, respectively, which shows that the structures have nearly the same conformation. The superposition of the non-H atoms common


Figure 3
The packing of molecules of (I), viewed down the $a$ axis. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.
to the structures of (I) and the 17-butyl analog (Hema et al., 2004), gives an r.m.s. deviation of $0.06 \AA$, which shows that replacement of the butyl substituent at N17 by an allyl group has a negligible effect on the conformation of the steroid nucleus.

Atom C 4 acts as a donor for a weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ intermolecular interaction (via H 4 ) with carbonyl atom O17a of a symmetry-related molecule. This interaction links the molecules into chains which run in the [010] direction and can be described by a graph-set motif of $C(10)$ (Bernstein et al., 1995; Table 1). Atom C 8 is involved in a weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction with carbonyl atom O16 of another adjacent molecule and thereby produces a continuous chain which runs in the [100] direction and has a graph-set motif $C(6)$. Atom C15 interacts via H 151 and H 152 with atom O32 in two other neighboring molecules, giving chains which run in the [001] and [010] directions, respectively. Each of these chains has a graph-set motif of $C(12)$. A weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interaction is also present between atom C 14 and the centroid, $C g 1$, of aromatic ring $A$ of a neighboring molecule $\left[\mathrm{H} 14 \cdots \mathrm{Cg} 1^{\text {iv }}=2.99 \AA\right.$, $\mathrm{C} 14 \cdots C g 1^{\text {iv }}=3.951(3) \AA$ and $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{H} 14 \cdots C g 1^{\text {iv }}=162^{\circ}$; symmetry code: (iv) $-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z$ ].

## Experimental

To a solution of 16,17a-dioxo-17-aza-d-homoestra-1,3,5(10)-trien-3-yl acetate ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethyl methyl ketone was added allyl bromide ( 2 ml ) and the mixture was heated for 10 min . Anhydrous potassium carbonate ( 1.5 g ) was then added and reaction mixture was refluxed with stirring for 3.5 h . The slurry obtained was filtered and the residue obtained after removal of solvent was crystallized from methanol to afford crystals of the title compound (yield: $0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$; m.p. $453-455 \mathrm{~K}$ ).

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$
$M_{r}=381.46$
Orthorhombic, $P_{2} 2_{1} 2_{1}$
$a=9.3546(2) \AA$
$b=10.7074(2) \AA$
$c=19.9204(5) \AA$
$V=1995.30(8) \AA$
$Z=4$
$D_{x}=1.270 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$

Data collection
Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans with $\kappa$ offsets
Absorption correction: none
30780 measured reflections
2596 independent reflections

> Mo K $\alpha$ radiation
> Cell parameters from 2614
> $\quad$ reflections
> $\theta=2.0-27.5^{\circ}$
> $\mu=0.09 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
> $T=160(2) \mathrm{K}$
> Tablet, colourless
> $0.25 \times 0.18 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.047$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.128$
$S=1.06$
2591 reflections
256 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0731 P)^{2}\right. \\
& +0.1695 P \text { ] } \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.004 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.25 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.21 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \\
& \text { Extinction correction: SHELXL97 } \\
& \text { Extinction coefficient: } 0.012 \text { (3) }
\end{aligned}
$$

tions $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95-1.00 \AA)$ and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$. Due to the absence of any significant anomalous scatterers in the molecule, attempts to confirm the absolute configuration by refinement of the Flack (1983) parameter in the presence of 1958 Friedel pairs led to an inconclusive value (Flack \& Bernardinelli, 2000) of 0.0 (12). Therefore, the Friedel pairs were merged before the final refinement and the absolute configuration was assigned to correspond with that of the known chiral centers in a precursor molecule, which remained unchanged during the synthesis of the title compound. Five low-angle reflections (012, 011, 002, 102 and 100) were partially obscured by the beam stop and were omitted.

Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 2000); cell refinement: DENZO-SMN (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997); data reduction: $D E N Z O-S M N$ and $S C A L E P A C K$ (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: coordinates taken from pseudoisostructural compound; program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and PLATON (Spek, 2003).

RH thanks the UGC, India, for the award of a minor research project and RB thanks the late Professor D. P. Jindal for his guidance and help rendered in the synthesis of the title compound.
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